Emissions of a Euro 5 motorcycle over the world harmonized motorcycle test cycle (WMTC)

The Euro 5 limits for L-category vehicles are applicable since 2020 and for this reason there is lack of studies examining the emissions of this category. In this study we tested a 1000 cm$^3$ Euro 5 motorcycle over the World Harmonized Motorcycle Test Cycle (WMTC). The gaseous pollutants were approximately half of their respective limits. The cold start (first 2 minutes) contributed to the majority of the emissions. The solid particle number emissions were also 6.5 times below the limit for passenger cars, but the particles not counted with the current methodology were around 2 times higher. High concentrations of volatiles were emitted at the high speed part of the cycle.
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1. Introduction

Registered mopeds (engine displacement < 50 cm$^3$) and motorcycles, collectively called powered two-wheelers (PTWs) accounted for more than 35 million vehicles in EU-28 in 2017, more than 10% of the passengers’ mobility fleet [1, 2]. More than 25% of the PTWs are registered in Italy. In Europe, the share of households holding PTWs in 2015 was 10%–25% [3]. The annual registrations of motorcycles in the EU increased in recent years, exceeding one million both in 2018 and 2019. The registrations of mopeds, which are one third of the motorcycles, on the other hand decreased. The relative contribution of mopeds and motorcycles to air pollution started to increase as the levels from other vehicles started to decrease.

In 2013, Regulation (EU) No 168/2013 and in 2014, supplemental technical Regulation (EU) 134/2014 established implementation dates for Euro 4 (2016) and Euro 5 (2020) L-category vehicles (i.e. 2-, 3- and 4-wheelers such as mopeds, motorcycles, quads and minicars). The Euro 5 emission limits are at the same levels with the limits of passenger cars, but, in contrast to passenger cars, solid particle number (SPN) emissions are not regulated. Due to their recent introduction in the market, to the authors knowledge there are no published data in the literature regarding Euro 5 motorcycles. Speculations for the expected improvements can be found though [4]. The data on Euro 4 motorcycles, which also have to respect strict limits under the same cycle and protocol are also limited [4–6].

The respective laboratory cycle is the WMTC (world harmonized motorcycle test cycle), which is based on actual on-road driving profiles. For this reason, the laboratory emissions are expected to be closer to the real world emissions. The WMTC consists of urban, rural and motorway parts. Many studies give big emphasis to the urban emissions, where the population exposure is the highest [7]. When laboratory and real-world cycles are compared, it is important to compare the respective parts (e.g. urban real world cycles with the urban parts of the laboratory cycles).

The aim of this paper is to summarize the emissions of a Euro 5 motorcycle giving separately the emissions of the urban, rural and motorway parts. Special emphasis is given to the particle number emissions, which are not regulated for the specific motorcycle.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Motorcycle

The motorcycle was Euro 5 type approved, with 1 L engine displacement, 115 kW max power at 10,500 rpm and 105 Nm max torque at 9000 rpm, with 5000 km on the odometer. It belonged to the high-performance motorcycles category (L3e-A3) because the power/weight ratio was > 0.2 kW/kg, and the maximum power > 35 kW. It had manual transmission, electronic injection and three-way catalyst.

The empty mass was 200 kg and an inertia of 290 kg was used for testing. Reference gasoline fuel with 5% ethanol content was used with density 0.743 kg/l (15°C).

2.2. Laboratory

The tests were conducted at the Vehicle Emissions Laboratory (VELA 1) of the European Commission - Joint Research Centre (JRC) (Ispra, Italy), at an ambient temperature of 23–25°C and relative humidity of 45%–55%. The exhaust of the motorcycle was diluted in a full dilution tunnel with constant volume sampling (CVS), which was set to 5.5 m$^3$/min (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. Experimental setup](image-url)
The gaseous pollutants were measured from the dilution tunnel in real time with analyzers AMA i60 (from AVL, Graz, Austria). Furthermore, a small part of the diluted gas was also collected in bags and was analyzed for the gaseous pollutants at the end of the cycle with the same set of analyzers. The principle of operation of the analyzers was: non-dispersive infrared detection for carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO2), chemiluminescence for nitrogen oxides (NOx), and hot (191°C) flame ionization detection for total hydrocarbons (HC) and methane (CH4). Non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) were calculated from the difference of HC and CH4.

An AVL particle counter (APC) 489 (AVL, Graz, Austria) [8], compliant with the light-duty vehicle regulations, was connected to the dilution tunnel. The system consisted of a hot diluter at 150°C, a catalytic stripper at 350°C [9], and a final porous diluter operating with room-temperature filtered air. For sub-23 nm, a catalytic stripper is necessary for the removal of volatile particles according to the latest Global Technical Regulation (GTR 15) for light-duty vehicles [10, 11]. The applied particle number concentration reduction factor (PCRF) was 500 (50 × 10). The PCRF was determined by the manufacturer during the calibration of the instrument and combined the dilution and average particle losses at 30, 50 and 100 nm, as required in the light-duty vehicles regulation. Downstream of the second dilution two TSI (Shoreview, MN, USA) butanol condensation particle counters (CPCs) with 50% counting efficiency at 23 nm (model 3790) and 65% efficiency at 10 nm (model 3772) were used to measure the PN concentrations of solid particles > 23 (SPN > 23 nm) and > 10 nm (SPN > 10 nm), respectively. The specific counting efficiencies are defined in GTR 15 [11].

An engine exhaust particle sizer (EEPS) (model 3090 from TSI) was connected to the full dilution tunnel using a simple diluter (dilution ratios 65–75:1). Such high dilution was necessary to avoid saturation at the last part of the cycle. The EEPS measured particle size distributions from 5.6 to 560 nm, based on particle charging and measuring their current at the electrometers where they deposited. As there was no thermal treatment, all particles (i.e., solids and volatiles) were measured (TPN > 6 nm).

2.3. Test cycle

The cycle was the WMTC (World Harmonized Motorcycle Test Cycle) stage 2, class 3, sub-class 3-2. The WMTC was introduced with Euro 4 and is based on actual driving patterns. Some characteristics of the cycle can be found in Table 1.

The cycle consisted of three phases (Fig. 2): Phase 1 or urban part, phase 2 or rural part, and phase 3 or motorway (highway) part (details in Table 1). Each phase was 10 min long (600 s) with a total cycle duration of 30 min (1800 s). The mean speed was 24.4 km/h in the urban phase, 54.7 km/h in the rural, and 94.4 km/h in the motorway phase. For the calculations of the cycle emissions, the weighing factors of phase 1 and phase 3 were 25%, and 50% for phase 2, as prescribed in the regulation.

The motorcycle was soaked at 23°C overnight and the test started with the engine at ambient temperature (cold start).

2.4. Calculations

The emissions E were calculated from the real time signals from the dilution tunnel:

$$E_{jk} = \left( \sum_{i} C_{i,j,k} \rho_i Q_i \right) / D_k$$

(1)

where j is the pollutant, k is the phase (urban, rural, motorway), $C_{i,j,k}$ is the background corrected concentration of the pollutant j at time i, $\rho_i$ is the density of the pollutant, $Q_i$ is the dilution tunnel flow rate, $D_k$ is the distance of the phase. The fuel consumption (FC) was given from the equation given in Regulation (EU) No 134/2014:

$$FC = (0.848 \cdot HC + 0.429 \cdot CO + 0.273 \cdot CO2) \cdot 0.118 / \rho$$

(2)

where $\rho$ is the fuel density.

3. Results

Figure 2 plots the speed profile and the exhaust gas temperature at the tailpipe. Figure 3 gives examples of real time emissions of regulated pollutants. The cold start emissions are high because the catalyst has not reached its light-off temperature. After the first two minutes the emissions are low, with relatively higher emissions during the motorway part.

Figure 4 plots the weighed WMTC results for the regulated pollutants. The emission levels were 45–60% of the respective limits.

![Fig. 2. Speed profile and exhaust gas temperature at the tailpipe](image)

Figure 5 plots the particle number (PN) signals as measured from the dilution tunnel.

The solid particle number (SPN) emissions of particles > 23 nm and > 10 nm followed the speed profile, with high spikes during accelerations. High emissions were noted at the cold start. The motorway part had also high emissions, but there the dilution at the dilution tunnel is lower due to the higher exhaust flow rate. Due to the high speed, the distance specific SPN emissions were relatively low.
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**Fig. 3.** Real time emissions of NOx (upper panel), HC and CH4 (middle panel) and CO (lower panel)

**Fig. 4.** Emission results of regulated pollutants. Blue bars give the motorcycle Euro 5 limits. Error bars show min-max of two repetitions. CO emissions and emission limit are divided with 10

**Fig. 5.** Particle number (PN) emissions for solid (SPN) or total (TPN) particles as measured from the dilution tunnel. To convert to p/s multiply by 1.0E+05

The total particle number (TPN) emissions were measured with the EEPS without any thermal pre-treatment. Due to the high dilution used (around 70:1) and the high detection limit of the instrument, the background levels were even higher than the spikes of the SPN instruments at the urban and rural parts. At the motorway part a high increase of volatile particles occurred. This coincided with the increase of the exhaust gas temperature >350°C (see Fig. 1).

The results of the gaseous pollutants, particles, fuel consumption and CO2 are summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 3</th>
<th>WMTC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO [mg/km]</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx [mg/km]</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC [mg/km]</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4 [mg/km]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHC [mg/km]</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 [g/km]</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC [l/100 km]</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPN &gt;23 nm [p/km]</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPN &gt;10 nm [p/km]</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPN, 1011 [p/km]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Discussion

Emission factors were low but there are a few points that need further investigation. One is how these emissions change over time. There were no durability requirements for Euro 3 or older motorcycles and significant increases of the emissions with mileage accumulation have been reported [12]. Durability mileage, also indicated as useful life values, as determined in Annex VII of Regulation (EU) No 168/2013, is 35,000 km for motorcycles with maximum speed >130 km/h. The Euro 5 deterioration factors are 1.3 for the regulated pollutants. This would mean that a 30% increase is expected until the end of the useful life of the motorcycle, but the emissions still have to respect the limits.

The second point is how close are the laboratory emissions to the real-world emissions. A few studies have found differences with older (and smaller) motorcycles, type approved with the older cycles [7]. More research is needed as
portable equipment will become available [13], in particular for Euro 5 motorcycles type approved with the WMTC, which is based on actual driving patterns and thus smaller differences are expected [14]. It is important to notice though that any comparison between laboratory and on-road tests needs to compare the real-world emissions with the appropriate part of the laboratory cycle (e.g. city emissions with the urban part). Table 2 gave the emissions of each part of the WMTC, and it is clear that there are big differences in emission levels between the different parts.

Another point is the high increase of volatiles when the exhaust gas temperature exceeded 350°C. At such high temperatures any deposited or desorbed material in the transfer line could be released. It can be assumed that the released material nucleated during cooling and dilution in the full dilution tunnel and resulted in high particle concentrations. Such desorption and high concentration of nucleation mode particles was reported in a dedicated study on the influence of the transfer line on particulate emissions [15]. In our study the geometric mean diameter of these particles was 17–24 nm. Another study with a Euro 4 motorcycle [16] found also such high volatile particles concentration, but when they repeated with an open configuration (i.e. dilution at the tailpipe) no such volatile particles appeared. It still needs to be understood whether these particles are produced from the motorcycle and due to the desorption they increase in size when they cool down at the dilution tunnel or they are an artefact of the sampling lines [17]. Nevertheless, the solid particle emissions > 23 nm were much lower (6.5 times) than the emission limit of the passenger cars (but 2.5 times when considering solid particles > 10 nm). The CLOVE proposal for Euro 7 for passenger cars is 1×10¹¹ p/km (for SPN₉₅). CLOVE (Consortium for ultra Low Vehicle Emissions) is the consortium tasked by the European Commission to give guidelines for the upcoming Euro 7 emission standards. The motorcycle exceeded this limit 2.5 times, indicating that the particle number emissions from L-category vehicles will need to be reconsidered.

5. Conclusions

This is one of the first studies of a Euro 5 motorcycle. The gaseous pollutants were half of their respective limits. The solid particle number emissions were 6.5 (23 nm) and 2.5 (10 nm) times lower than the limits of passenger cars (not applicable to motorcycles). The emissions per phase (urban, rural, motorway) parts were also provided. For all pollutants the cold start had the highest contribution, while the motorway part was a significant source of total particles. More research is needed for total particles to ensure that they are not an artefact of the high exhaust gas temperatures and release of deposited materials in the sampling lines.
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Nomenclature

| APC | AVL particle counter        | HC | hydrocarbons                  |
| CH₄ | methane                      | NMHC | non-methane hydrocarbons     |
| CO  | carbon monoxide             | NOₓ | nitrogen oxides              |
| CO₂ | carbon dioxide              | PCRF | particle concentration reduction factor |
| CPC | condensation particle counter | FN | particle number               |
| CVS | constant volume sampler     | PTW | powered two-wheelers         |
| EEPS | engine exhaust particle sizer | SPN | solid particle number        |
| FC  | fuel consumption             | TPN | total particle number        |
| GTR | global technical regulation | WMTC | world harmonized motorcycle test cycle |
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